

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Appellant: Patrice Cardine  
Serial No.: 10/511,119  
Filed: March 17, 2005  
Group Art Unit: 3634  
Examiner: Redman, Jerry E.  
Title: BRACKET FOR WINDOW REGULATOR, WINDOW REGULATOR AND VEHICLE BODY

Mail Stop Appeal Brief- Patents  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria VA 22313-1450

**REPLY BRIEF**

Dear Sir:

This is in response to the Examiner's Answer mailed September 15, 2010. The Examiner has made some arguments that require some brief response.

**REMARKS**

The Examiner states that the only issue relates to whether the screwing direction is a normal line substantially perpendicular to the plane and inclined relative to the window guiding direction.

First, the Examiner states that Figure 1 of Rieder appears to leave out the defined angle shown in Figures 3-5. However, Figure 1 is a general view of the door 1 and does not show the detail of any angles.

In the Examiner's Answer, the Examiner argues that the first part is bent into the paper as shown in Figures 2 and 5. However, even taking this interpretation, the bent part is still parallel to the window guiding direction, further shown in the annotated Figure 3 (shown below). The right dotted line represents the window-guiding direction, and the parallel left dotted line represents the

screwing direction. Therefore, the screwing direction (the normal line) is not inclined relative to a window-guiding direction, but is instead parallel.




FIG. 3

## CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above, the rejection of all claims is improper and should be reversed. Appellant respectfully requests such an action.

Respectfully Submitted,

**CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C.**

/Karin H. Butchko/

Karin H. Butchko  
Registration No. 45,864  
Attorney for Appellant  
400 West Maple Road, Suite 350  
Birmingham, Michigan 48009

Dated: November 15, 2010

(248) 988-8360